Valgrind

Valgrind

- <u>Valgrind</u> is a memory mismanagement detector
- Shows memory leaks, deallocation errors, etc
- A wrapper around a collection of tools that do many other things (e.g., cache profiling)
- Here we focus on the default tool, memcheck

Memcheck

Can detect:

- Use of uninitialised memory
- Reading/writing memory after it has been free'd
- Reading/writing off the end of malloc'd blocks
- Reading/writing inappropriate areas on the stack
- Memory leaks -- where pointers to malloc'd blocks are lost forever
- Mismatched use of malloc/new/new [] vs free/delete/delete []
- Overlapping src and dst pointers in memcpy() and related functions
- Some misuses of the POSIX pthreads API

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int main() {
    int i;
    int *a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 10);
```

```
if (!a) return -1; /*malloc failed*/
```

```
for (i = 0; i < 11; i++)
a[i] = i;
free(a);
return 0;
```

}

- \$ gcc -Wall -pedantic -g example1.c -o example
- \$ valgrind ./example

==23779== Memcheck, a memory error detector ==23779== Copyright (C) 2002-2009, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al. ==23779== Using Valgrind-3.5.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info ==23779== Command: ./example ==23779== ==23779==

Invalid write of size 4 ==23779== at 0x400548: main (example1.c:9) ==23779== Address 0x4c30068 is 0 bytes after a block of size 40 alloc'd ==23779== at 0x4A05E46: malloc (vg_replace_malloc.c:195) ==23779== by 0x40051C: main (example1.c:6) ==23779== ==23779== ==23779==

HEAP SUMMARY: ==23779== in use at exit: 0 bytes in 0 blocks ==23779== total heap usage: 1 allocs, 1 frees, 40 bytes allocated ==23779== ==23779== All heap blocks were freed -- no leaks are possible ==23779== ==23779== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v ==23779== ERROR SUMMARY: 1 errors from 1 contexts (suppressed: 6 from 6)

#include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h> int main() { int i; int a[10]; for (i = 0; i < 9; i++) a[i] = i; for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) printf("%d ", a[i]);

return 0;

}

• ==24599== Conditional jump or move depends on uninitialised value(s)

- ==24599== at 0x33A8648196: vfprintf (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so) ==24599== by 0x33A864FB59: printf (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so)
- ==24599== by 0x400567: main (example2.c:11)
- ==24599== ==24599== Use of uninitialised value of size 8 ==24599== at 0x33A864484B: _itoa_word (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so) ==24599== by 0x33A8646D50: vfprintf (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so) ==24599== by 0x33A864FB59: printf (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so) ==24599== by 0x400567: main (example2.c:11)
- ==24599== ==24599== Conditional jump or move depends on uninitialised value(s) ==24599== at 0x33A8644855: _itoa_word (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so) ==24599== by 0x33A8646D50: vfprintf (in /lib64/libc-2.13.so) ==24599== by 0x400567: main (example2.c:11) ==24599==

0123456787

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

```
int main(){
     int i; int *a;
     for (i=0; i < 10; i++){
       a = malloc(sizeof(int) * 100);
      ł
      free(a);
      return 0;
```

\$ gcc -Wall -pedantic -g example3.c -o example3

\$ valgrind --leak-check=full ./example3

==24810== HEAP SUMMARY:

- ==24810== in use at exit: 3,600 bytes in 9 blocks
- ==24810== total heap usage: 10 allocs, 1 frees, 4,000 bytes allocated

==24810==

- ==24810== 3,600 bytes in 9 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 1 of 1
- ==24810== at 0x4A05E46: malloc (vg_replace_malloc.c:195)
- ==24810== by 0x400525: main (example3.c:9)

==24810==

==24810== LEAK SUMMARY:

- ==24810== definitely lost: 3,600 bytes in 9 blocks
- ==24810== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
- ==24810== possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
- ==24810== still reachable: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
- ==24810== suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks

==24810==

==24810== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v

==24810== ERROR SUMMARY: 1 errors from 1 contexts (suppressed: 6 from 6)

- If you see leaks indicated as still reachable, this generally does not indicate a serious problem since the memory was probably still in use at the end of the program.
- Any leaks listed as "definitely lost" should be fixed (as should ones listed "indirectly lost" or "possibly lost"
- "indirectly lost" will happen if you do something like free the root node of a tree but not the rest of it
- "possibly lost" generally indicates the memory is actually lost
 - A function that allocates a buffer (perhaps to store a string) and returns it, but the caller never frees the memory after it is finished.
 - If a program like that runs for a long time, it will allocate a lot of memory that it does not need.

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
    char *p;
    // Allocation #1 of 19 bytes
    p = (char *) malloc(19);
    // Allocation #2 of 12 bytes
    p = (char *) malloc(12);
    free(p);
    // Allocation #3 of 16 bytes
    p = (char *) malloc(16);
    return 0;
```

gcc -o test -g test.c

valgrind --tool=memcheck --leak-check=yes --show-reachable=yes --num-callers=20 --track-fds=yes ./test

- ==9704== Memcheck, a memory error detector for x86-linux.
- ==9704== Copyright (C) 2002-2004, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
- ==9704== Using valgrind-2.2.0, a program supervision framework for x86-linux.
- ==9704== Copyright (C) 2000-2004, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
- ==9704== For more details, rerun with: -v
- ==9704== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 11 from 1)
- ==9704== malloc/free: in use at exit: 35 bytes in 2 blocks.
- ==9704== malloc/free: 3 allocs, 1 frees, 47 bytes allocated.
- ==9704== For counts of detected errors, rerun with: -v
- ==9704== searching for pointers to 2 not-freed blocks.
- ==9704== checked 1420940 bytes.

==9704== 16 bytes in 1 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 1 of 2

- ==9704== at 0x1B903D38: malloc (vg_replace_malloc.c:131)
- ==9704== by 0x80483BF: main (test.c:15)

==9704==

==9704==

- ==9704== 19 bytes in 1 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 2 of 2
- ==9704== at 0x1B903D38: malloc (vg_replace_malloc.c:131)
- ==9704== by 0x8048391: main (test.c:8)

==9704==

- ==9704== LEAK SUMMARY:
- ==9704== definitely lost: 35 bytes in 2 blocks.
- ==9704== possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks.
- ==9704== still reachable: 0 bytes in 0 blocks.
- ==9704== suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks.

- Allocation #1 (19 byte leak) is lost because p is pointed elsewhere before the memory from Allocation #1 is free'd.
- To help us track it down, Valgrind gives us a stack trace showing where the bytes were allocated. In the 19 byte leak entry, the bytes were allocate in test.c, line 8.
- Allocation #2 (12 byte leak) doesn't show up in the list because it is free'd.
- Allocation #3 shows up in the list even though there is still a reference to it (p) at program termination. This is still a memory leak! Again, Valgrind tells us where to look for the allocation (test.c line 15).

```
#include <stdlib.h>
```

```
void f(void)
{
    int* x = malloc(10 * sizeof(int));
    x[10] = 0; // problem 1: heap block overrun
} // problem 2: memory leak -- x not freed
```

```
int main(void)
{
  f();
  return 0;
}
```

What valgrind is not:

It does not do bounds checking on stack/static arrays (those not allocated with malloc)

#include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h> int main() { int i; int x = 0; int a[10]; for (i = 0; i < 11; i++) a[i] = i; printf("x is %dn", x); return 0;

What valgrind is not:

#include <stdio.h> #include
<stdlib.h>

int main(){ char *str = malloc(10); gets(str); printf("%s\n",str); return 0;

- valgrind checks programs dynamically -- that is, it checks during actual program execution whether any leaks actually occurred for that execution
 - you run valgrind on a particular set of inputs that does not cause any bad memory accesses or memory to be leaked, valgrind will not report any errors, even though your program does contain bugs
- For a long string, this will overflow
- Strings of length less than 10 are fine
- Never use gets